

Cilia Flores's defense asks judge to seal her medical records

United States v. Maduro Moros et al. · S.D.N.Y. No. 1:11-cr-00205

Judge Alvin K. Hellerstein · Docket entry #328 · Filed 2026-09-09 · Filed by: Defense

What happened

Cilia Adela Flores de Maduro's defense team filed a motion asking the court to seal her medical records, previously provided by the prosecution, along with a declaration from her attorney Mark E. Donnelly. The filing states these records contain confidential information and are being submitted for the judge's review in connection with her application for pretrial release. A sealed version was filed on the court's electronic docket while an unredacted copy was hand-delivered to chambers.

What it means

This is a routine procedural request when private medical information is submitted to a court: the defense seeks to keep the personal health details out of the public record while still making them available for the judge to consider. The filing itself does not disclose the medical content or decide anything about Flores's pending house-arrest request.

What happens next

A hearing on Cilia Flores's house-arrest request is already set for October 8, 2026, following the government's opposition filed September 24 and a defense reply due September 30, 2026.

Key passages from the filing (verbatim)

"These records contain confidential information related to Ms. Flores de Maduro and are provided to the Court for review in connection with her application for pretrial release."

Page 1 — Explains the stated purpose of sealing the medical records.

Docket text (official, in English)

MOTION to Seal Document 327 Memorandum of Law in Support, . Document filed by Cilia Adela Flores De Maduro. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit Declaration of Mark E. Donnelly and Medical Records)(Donnelly, Mark) (Entered: 09/09/2026)

Online version: <https://mailcilita.com/caso.php?d=maduro-sdny-e328>

Full original filing: <https://mailcilita.com/caso.php?d=maduro-sdny-e328&f=original>

Independent summary of a public court record, prepared with AI assistance under fixed accuracy rules. It is not legal advice and not an official court document. Requests and arguments are attributed to the party that made them; nothing here is a finding of guilt. Not affiliated with any person named.