

Judge allows Cilia Flores's medical records to remain sealed

United States v. Maduro Moros et al. · S.D.N.Y. No. 1:11-cr-00205

Judge Alvin K. Hellerstein · Docket entry #329 · Filed 2026-09-10 · Filed by: Court

What happened

Judge Alvin Hellerstein granted Cilia Flores's motion to seal Exhibit C of her pretrial release application, which contains her personal medical records. The memorandum of law explaining her reasons for seeking release remains public and unredacted. The judge found that Flores's privacy interest in her medical information outweighs the presumption of public access in this instance.

What it means

The ruling does not change the status of Flores's house-arrest request or decide anything about the merits of the case; it simply protects the confidentiality of her medical data, something courts routinely allow. The rest of her arguments for release remain public.

What happens next

A hearing on Cilia Flores's house-arrest request is set for October 8, 2026, after the government's opposition filed September 24 and a defense reply due September 30, 2026.

Key passages from the filing (verbatim)

"Ms. Flores' privacy interest in sealing her personal medical records supersedes the presumption of public access as it relates to this motion."

Page 1 — This is the judge's core reasoning for granting the sealing motion.

Docket text (official, in English)

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO SEAL 328 Motion to Seal Document as to Cilia Adela Flores De Maduro (8). Ms. Flores' privacy interest in sealing her personal medical records supersedes the presumption of public access as it relates to this motion. Therefore, Ms. Flores' motion to seal Exhibit C is granted. The Clerk of Court shall terminate ECF No. 328(Signed by Judge Alvin K. Hellerstein on 9/10/26) (jw)

Online version: <https://mailcilita.com/caso.php?d=maduro-sdny-e329>

Full original filing: <https://mailcilita.com/caso.php?d=maduro-sdny-e329&f=original>

Independent summary of a public court record, prepared with AI assistance under fixed accuracy rules. It is not legal advice and not an official court document. Requests and arguments are attributed to the party that made them; nothing here is a finding of guilt. Not affiliated with any person named.